

Electron Transfer and Multi-Electron Accumulation in ExBox⁴⁺**

Scott M. Dyar, Jonathan C. Barnes, Michal Juriček, J. Fraser Stoddart, Dick T. Co, Ryan M. Young,* and Michael R. Wasielewski*

Abstract: Molecules capable of accepting and storing multiple electrons are crucial components of artificial photosynthetic systems designed to drive catalysts, such as those used to reduce protons to hydrogen. ExBox⁴⁺, a boxlike cyclophane comprising two π -electron-poor extended viologen units tethered at both ends by two *p*-xylylene linkers, has been shown previously to accept an electron through space from a photoexcited guest. Herein is an investigation of an alternate, through-bond intramolecular electron-transfer pathway involving ExBox⁴⁺ using a combination of transient absorption and femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS). Upon photoexcitation of ExBox⁴⁺, an electron is transferred from one of the *p*-xylylene linkers to one of the extended viologen units in ca. 240 ps and recombines in ca. 4 ns. A crystal structure of the doubly reduced species ExBox²⁺ was obtained.

Artificial photosynthetic reaction centers, capable of converting light into chemical energy, are highly desirable, yet complex alternative energy systems.^[1] Significant progress^[2] has been made in developing several aspects of these reaction centers, such as those relating to charge separation and transport in chromophore–catalyst dyads and the assembly of these components into large arrays. Another critical aspect of these arrays is the buildup of charge required for multi-electron processes,^[3] for example, those involved in water splitting. This essential component must be 1) highly stable, 2) easily tailored, and 3) possess a wide range of accessible redox states.

Recently, we described the potential for a novel cyclophane,^[4] for which we coined the name ExBox⁴⁺, to function as a multi-electron acceptor in artificial photosynthetic systems.^[5] ExBox⁴⁺ (Figure 1) is a π -electron-poor, semi-




Figure 1. Structural formula of ExBox⁴⁺ comprising two electron-rich *p*-Xy (red) and two electron-poor ExBIPY²⁺ (blue) units.

rigid cyclophane comprising two 4,4'-phenylene-linked extended bipyridinium (ExBIPY²⁺) units, tethered by two *p*-xylylene (*p*-Xy) linkers, leading to a box-like geometry.^[6] In common with the well-characterized cyclobis(paraquat-*p*-phenylene)^[7] (CBPQT⁴⁺), ExBox⁴⁺ 1) exists as a multicationic species, 2) has several accessible mixed-valence states, and 3) exhibits molecular recognition which aids in self-assembly with π -electron rich guests.^[6] Although the *p*-Xy linkers within CBPQT⁴⁺ can be modified covalently,^[8] similar modifications have yet to be demonstrated in the case of ExBox⁴⁺. However, ExBox⁴⁺ provides a significant advantage over CBPQT⁴⁺, with a cavity length of 15 Å, compared to that of 11 Å for CBPQT⁴⁺. This increase in the cavity length allows ExBox⁴⁺ to bind large polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), several of which function as building blocks in artificial photosynthetic systems as chromophores and electron donors. In the case of complexed perylene, that is, ExBox⁴⁺⊂perylene, photoexcitation results in the charge-separated state ExBox³⁺⊂perylene⁺.^[5] This electron-transfer process, involving an acceptor with multiple accessible mixed-

[*] S. M. Dyar, J. C. Barnes, Dr. M. Juriček, Prof. J. F. Stoddart, Prof. D. T. Co, Prof. R. M. Young, Prof. M. R. Wasielewski
Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University (USA)
Prof. D. T. Co, Prof. R. M. Young, Prof. M. R. Wasielewski
Argonne-Northwestern Solar Energy Research (ANSER) Center
2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208 (USA)
E-mail: ryan.young@northwestern.edu
m-wasielewski@northwestern.edu

Dr. M. Juriček
Department of Chemistry, University Basel
St. Johannis-Ring 19, 4056 Basel (Switzerland)

[**] The research at Northwestern University (NU) was supported by the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, DOE, under grant no. DE-FG02-99ER14999 (M.R.W.), and is also part (Project 34-947) of the Joint Center of Excellence in Integrated Nano-Systems (JCIN) at the King Abdulaziz City of Science and Technology (KACST) and Northwestern University (NU). We would like to thank both KACST and NU for their continued support of this research. R.M.Y. and D.T.C.

would like to thank the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Postdoctoral Program in Environmental Chemistry for support. D.T.C. was supported as part of the ANSER Center, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-SC0001059. J.C.B., who is supported by a National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship (from the Department of Defense 32 CFR 168a) and an Innovations in Fuel Cycle Research award from the Department of Energy, also gratefully acknowledges support of a Ryan Fellowship from the NU International Institute for Nanotechnology (IIN). M.J. gratefully acknowledges The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) and the Marie Curie Cofund Action (Rubicon Fellowship) for financial support. xBox⁴⁺ = a boxlike cyclophane comprising two π -electron-poor extended viologen units tethered at both ends by two *p*-xylylene linkers.



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201402444>.

valence states, provides a promising system for accumulating electrons for artificial photosynthesis.

In this investigation, we report two advances in the potential application of ExBox⁴⁺ in artificial photosynthesis. They are 1) through-bond electron transfer to the ExBIPY²⁺ unit of ExBox⁴⁺ and 2) confirmation in the solid state of the ability of ExBox⁴⁺ to accept and stabilize two electrons. In addition to carrying out computational studies, we take advantage of a wide variety of experimental techniques, including femtosecond transient absorption (fsTA) and nano-second transient absorption (nsTA) spectroscopies, femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS), and X-ray crystallography to highlight these two properties of ExBox⁴⁺.

The excited-state dynamics of ExBox⁴⁺, upon ultraviolet photoexcitation, span from the picosecond to the micro-second regimes. Following selective excitation of the ExBIPY²⁺ chromophore in ExBox⁴⁺ with a 330 nm, 150 fs pulse, the initial transient absorption spectrum is characterized by two strong absorptions at 490 and 1410 nm (Figure 2),




Figure 2. Combined visible and near-infrared femtosecond transient absorption spectra recorded in MeCN of ExBox⁴⁺, exciting at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm ($0.6 \mu\text{J pulse}^{-1}$), highlighting the characteristic reduced ExBIPY²⁺ absorption from 900–1200 nm. Relative amplitudes on either side of the break are arbitrary.

which are assigned to ¹*ExBox⁴⁺ (see reference data given below). Both absorptions decay in $\tau = 223 \pm 11$ ps (84 %) and 3.3 ± 1.3 ns (16 %) (fit at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1410$ nm, see the Supporting Information), and are replaced by broad 900–1200 nm and much weaker 1410 nm absorptions that appear in $\tau = 263 \pm 24$ ps and decay with $\tau = 3.6 \pm 0.7$ ns (fit at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1100$ nm, see the Supporting Information). These absorptions correspond well to the absorption of ExBIPY⁺ measured^[78] spectroelectrochemically in MeCN, but differ slightly in relative band intensities. Thus, the minor 3.3 ns (16 %) component in the decay kinetics of ¹*ExBox⁴⁺ at 1410 nm results from a small residual ExBIPY⁺ absorption. Reduction of an ExBIPY²⁺ unit can only occur in this case by oxidation of an adjacent, covalently-attached *p*-Xy linker by a through-bond pathway—differentiating it from the through-space pathway involved in host₂guest charge transfer.^[5]

To gain a better understanding of the photoexcited ExBox⁴⁺, we investigated phenylene-extended methyl viologen^[9] (MPV²⁺) as a control in which the specific dynamics of a single ExBIPY²⁺ unit can be studied separately from that of the cyclophane as a whole under the same conditions. Without any covalently attached electron donors, MPV²⁺ should only

exhibit excited state relaxation. The ¹*MPV²⁺ excited state decays in $\tau = 1.56 \pm 0.02$ ns (fit at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 1458$ nm, see the Supporting Information), and the broad 900–1200 nm absorption band is absent. A small percentage of the transient spectrum at 480 nm persists past the experimental window (ca. 6 ns) and is characteristic of a long-lived triplet species formed by spin-orbit intersystem crossing^[10] (SO-ISC) from the singlet excited state. The contour plots in Figure 3 A,B




Figure 3. Contour plots of the NIR fsTA spectra recorded in MeCN of ExBox⁴⁺ (A) and MPV²⁺ (B) ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 330$ nm), highlighting the lack of the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) peak (900–1200 nm) in the spectra of MPV²⁺ after photoexcitation. This discrepancy is attributed to the presence of the *p*-Xy linkers in ExBox⁴⁺.

show the results of photoexcited ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺ in the near-infrared (NIR) region, which allow for the direct assignment of the peaks at 490 and 1410 nm present in both ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺ to their S₁ excited states, and the feature (Figure 3 A) from 900–1200 nm to the charge-shifted species (ExBIPY⁺-*p*-Xy⁺).

To corroborate these findings, femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy (FSRS) was performed on ExBox⁴⁺. FSRS offers a high degree of both temporal and spectral resolution and avoids much of the peak overlap observed in visible and NIR transient absorption experiments. Additionally, the Raman signatures^[5] of the reduced species ExBox³⁺ have already been characterized. In this investigation, ExBox⁴⁺ was photoexcited with a 330 nm actinic pump pulse, followed by a 500 nm Raman pump pulse, on resonance with the strong excited-state absorption for both ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺. The FSRS spectra of ExBox⁴⁺ exhibit several vibrational modes (see the Supporting Information), all of which decay with lifetimes in good agreement with the visible and NIR fsTA experiments. A single peak, centered around




Figure 4. Contour plots of the FSRS spectra recorded in MeCN of ExBox⁴⁺ (A) and MPV²⁺ (B) ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}=330$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{rp}}=500$ nm), highlighting the lack of the reduced ExBIPY¹⁺ peak at 1590 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of MPV²⁺ after photoexcitation.

1590 cm⁻¹ appears in $\tau = 310 \pm 10$ ps (fit at 1590 cm⁻¹, see Supporting Information), and is characteristic^[5] of the quadrant stretches of ExBox³⁺. FSRS performed on MPV²⁺ under the same conditions shows a similar set of peaks which decay in $\tau = 1.55 \pm 0.05$ ns (fit at 1650 cm⁻¹, see Supporting Information). The contour plots in Figure 4A,B show the FSRS results of photoexcited ExBox⁴⁺ and of MPV²⁺. It is worth noting that the peak centered around 1590 cm⁻¹ in the case of ExBox⁴⁺ (Figure 4A) is absent from the MPV²⁺ spectrum (Figure 4B). Comparison of the fsTA and FSRS of ExBox⁴⁺ with that of MPV²⁺ and previous results^[5] on an ExBox⁴⁺ host–guest complex suggests that the cyclophane undergoes intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) from one *p*-Xy linker to one ExBIPY²⁺ unit with $\tau_{\text{ICT}} \approx 240$ ps, and subsequently recombines with $\tau_{\text{CR}} \approx 4$ ns.

Density functional theory (DFT) was utilized to gain a better understanding of the dynamics occurring upon photoexcitation of ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺. Their structures were both geometry optimized in the ground, singlet-excited, and triplet-excited state using DFT and TD-DFT (B3LYP, 6-31++G*). Normal mode analyses were performed on the singlet and triplet excited states of MPV²⁺, as well as on the singly reduced MPV⁺ and oxidized *p*-Xy⁺, to assist with the assignments of peaks observed in the FSRS spectra. Using the reduced MPV⁺ vibrations, the Raman peak of interest at 1590 cm⁻¹ is assigned to quadrant stretches of the pyridinium units and *p*-phenylene linkers of the reduced ExBIPY⁺ unit. A quadrant stretch of the oxidized *p*-Xy⁺ unit also contributes a shoulder at 1639 cm⁻¹ to this peak, albeit of a much lower intensity. Singlet and triplet excited state ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺

vibrations, along with the remaining charge-separated ExBox⁴⁺ vibrations, are discussed in more detail in the Supporting Information.

The HOMO of ExBox⁴⁺ is highly localized on the *p*-Xy linker, while the LUMO is delocalized across both ExBIPY²⁺ units. These orbital localizations (see the Supporting Information) support the proposed charge transfer process, where an electron—in this case from a covalently attached *p*-Xy linker—is transferred to the delocalized LUMO of ExBox⁴⁺. The nearly orthogonal orientation of the *p*-Xy linker/donor π systems relative to those of both ExBIPY²⁺ acceptors results in decreased donor–acceptor electronic coupling, a feature which might explain the relatively slow electron-transfer rate, considering the short charge-separation distance from the *p*-Xy linker to ExBIPY²⁺. Delocalization of the transferred electron across ExBIPY⁺ would exacerbate this poor donor–acceptor overlap, resulting in recombination on a time scale of the order of nanoseconds.

The energy of the lowest-lying singlet state of ExBox⁴⁺ has been shown^[5] to be $E_s = 3.5$ eV. The ion pair energy of the intramolecular charge-transfer state was determined to be $\Delta G_{\text{IP}} = 2.61$ eV, using an expression (see the Supporting Information) developed by Weller,^[11] and the difference between E_s and ΔG_{IP} gives the free energy for photoinduced charge separation, $\Delta G_{\text{CS}} = -0.89$ eV. A driving force of this magnitude is not surprising since the ExBIPY²⁺ units undergoing reduction are similar to methyl viologen, which has been used extensively^[12] as an electron acceptor. Using TD-DFT, the energy of the lowest-lying triplet was calculated to be $E_T = 1.98$ eV, a value which is energetically downhill from E_s . Additionally, E_T is lower in energy than ΔG_{IP} , providing favorable energetics which could allow for triplet formation from the charge-shifted species by spin-orbit, charge-transfer intersystem crossing (SOCT-ISC), a process which requires nearly orthogonal donor–acceptor orbitals as is the case for ExBIPY⁺–*p*-Xy⁺.^[13]

To probe the small, long-lived triplet feature present in the fsTA spectra of both MPV²⁺ and ExBox⁴⁺, nanosecond transient absorption (nsTA) spectroscopy was utilized. Representative spectra and kinetics of ExBox⁴⁺, photoexcited at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 355$ nm (1.5 mJ pulse⁻¹) are provided in the Supporting Information. Under air-free conditions, nsTA showed that this 480 nm peak again persists beyond the experimental window (4.5 μ s) in both systems. When the ExBox⁴⁺ and MPV²⁺ solutions were exposed to air, the lifetime of this species fell to 1 μ s and 600 ns, respectively. Accordingly, interaction with oxygen most likely accounts for the difference in rates between the air-free and oxygenated samples. Based on these results, this long-lived species is most likely a triplet state localized on the ExBIPY²⁺ unit. The data for MPV²⁺ indicate that a small amount of spin-orbit-induced intersystem crossing occurs in competition with excited state decay to ground state. Moreover, the enhanced ³*(ExBox⁴⁺) yield observed relative to that of ³*(MPV²⁺) could be attributed to the availability of a second pathway, that is, SOCT-ISC, which occurs as a result of the return charge shift reaction that restores the ExBox⁴⁺ ground state.

We have established that electron transfer from the *p*-Xy linker of ExBox⁴⁺ to an ExBIPY²⁺ unit is possible, demon-

strating that a second route for electron accumulation by ExBox^{4+} exists. Compounds similar to ExBox^{4+} , such as CBPQT^{4+} , can be modified covalently^[8] on the *p*-Xy linkers. Indeed, work is currently underway to functionalize the *p*-Xy linker of ExBox^{4+} with a chromophoric electron donor, capable of electron transfer to ExBox^{4+} . This pathway could, in principle, function along with through-space electron transfer from an included guest.

Recent studies^[5,6] have demonstrated the generation of doubly-reduced ExBox^{2+} in solution by both chemical and electrochemical reduction. Unfortunately, on account of the overlapping one- and two-electron reduction potentials, electrochemically generated solutions consist of both ExBox^0 and ExBox^{2+} . While chemical reduction of ExBox^{4+} with Zn dust avoids this issue, solutions are unstable for any useful period of time, and hence characterization is difficult. Single crystals, suitable for X-ray crystallography, of a mixture of ExBox^{2+} and ExBox^{4+} resulted from reduction of ExBox^{4+} under inert conditions using 2.5 equivalents of cobaltocene. The crystallization, which was carried out inside an argon-filled glovebox at 0 °C, led to a mixture of oxidation states, as indicated by the presence and position of 3 PF_6^- counterions in the unit cell. The solid-state superstructure (Figure 5) con-




Figure 5. A) A space-filling representation of the crystal packing of the superstructure consisting of the mixed oxidation states, ExBox^{4+} (blue) and ExBox^{2+} (yellow). B) Stick representation of the crystal structure of ExBox^{2+} , containing two nearly planar ExBIPY^+ moieties. This solid-state structure provides confirmation that multi-electron transfer to ExBox^{4+} is possible, and occurs by distribution along both ExBIPY units.

firms our previous observations^[5] based on computational studies and resonance Raman experiments. The pyridinium-phenylene torsional angles within ExBox^{2+} are significantly lower (1.8°–3.5°) than those (3.4°–20°) of ExBox^{4+} , confirming that both ExBIPY^{2+} units become flattened in conjunction with the delocalization of unpaired electrons. This observation provides a clear indication that the stabilization of two electrons in ExBox^{4+} is not only possible, but occurs in the expected fashion to distribute the radical electrons along both ExBIPY^{2+} units.

In summary, a viologen-based cyclophane has been photoexcited and investigated on the pico- to the microsecond timescales. The results reported herein reveal a through-bond pathway for electron transfer to ExBox^{4+} in addition to the already established through-space channel. Perusal of the solid-state structures of ExBox^{4+} and ExBox^{2+} , with both redox states present in the single crystal, confirms that multiple electrons, when transferred to ExBox^{4+} , are distributed along both ExBIPY^{2+} units which, in turn, become

flattened and form semiquinoidal structures capable of stabilizing unpaired electrons. In the context of artificial photosynthesis, the next logical step towards utilizing ExBox^{4+} will be to modify covalently one or both of the *p*-xylylene linkers with a simple chromophoric electron donor capable of reducing ExBox^{4+} following photoexcitation. This cyclophane-based host should be able to bind a second, different electron donor forming a complex capable of both through-bond and through-space electron transfer to the cyclophane, by taking advantage of photoexcitation at multiple wavelengths during a single experiment.

Experimental Section

The details of the visible/near-infrared femtosecond transient absorption and femtosecond stimulated Raman spectroscopy experiments have been published elsewhere.^[5] Both experiments utilize an ultraviolet (330 nm) femtosecond excitation pulse, derived from the second harmonic of the 660 nm output of an optical parametric amplifier. Nanosecond transient absorption experiments, also previously reported,^[14] utilize the 355 nm frequency-tripled output of a Nd:YAG laser. Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) were performed, using the B3LYP exchange-correlation functional with the 6-31++G* basis set, as implemented^[15] in QChem 4.0.

Received: February 20, 2014

Published online: April 11, 2014

Keywords: cyclophanes · electron transfer · ExBox · femtochemistry · photochemistry

- a) M. R. Wasielewski, *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, 92, 435–461; b) D. Gust, T. A. Moore, A. L. Moore, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993**, 26, 198–205.
- a) K. Tomizaki, R. S. Loewe, C. Kirmaier, J. K. Schwartz, J. L. Retsek, D. F. Bocian, D. Holten, J. S. Lindsey, *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 67, 6519–6534; b) J. H. Alstrum-Acevedo, M. K. Brennaman, T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, 44, 6802–6827; c) N. S. Lewis, D. G. Nocera, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2007**, 104, 20142–20142; d) V. Balzani, A. Credi, M. Venturi, *ChemSusChem* **2008**, 1, 26–58; e) D. Gust, T. A. Moore, A. L. Moore, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1890–1898; f) A. Magnuson, M. Anderlund, O. Johansson, P. Lindblad, R. Lomoth, T. Polivka, S. Ott, K. Stensjo, S. Styring, V. Sundstrom, L. Hammarstrom, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1899–1909; g) M. R. Wasielewski, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, 42, 1910–1921; h) S. Karlsson, J. Boixel, Y. Pellegrin, E. Blart, H. C. Becker, F. Odobel, L. Hammarstrom, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 17977–17979.
- a) M. P. O’Neil, M. P. Niemczyk, W. A. Svec, D. Gosztola, G. L. Gaines III, M. R. Wasielewski, *Science* **1992**, 257, 63–65; b) R. Konduri, H. Ye, F. M. MacDonnell, S. Serroni, S. Campagna, K. Rajeshwar, *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, 114, 3317–3319; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, 41, 3185–3187; c) M. M. Oliva, J. Casado, G. Hennrich, J. T. L. Navarrete, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 19198–19206; d) S. M. Arachchige, J. R. Brown, E. Chang, A. Jain, D. F. Zigler, K. Rangan, K. J. Brewer, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 48, 1989–2000; e) K. J. Elliott, A. Harriman, L. Le Pleux, Y. Pellegrin, E. Blart, C. R. Mayer, F. Odobel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, 11, 8767–8773.
- a) F. Diederich, K. Dick, *Angew. Chem.* **1983**, 95, 730–735; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1983**, 22, 715–716; b) F. Diederich, K. Dick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, 106, 8024–8036; c) M. Böhner, W. Geuder, W.-K. Gries, S. Hünig, M. Koch, T. Poll, *Angew.*

- Chem.* **1988**, *100*, 1611–1614; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 1553–1556; d) F. Diederich, *Cyclophanes*, Vol. 2, Royal Society of Chemistry, London, **1991**; e) H.-Y. Gong, B. M. Rambo, E. Karnas, V. M. Lynch, J. L. Sessler, *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 406–409; f) B. M. Rambo, H.-Y. Gong, M. Oh, J. L. Sessler, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 1390–1401.
- [5] R. M. Young, S. M. Dyar, J. C. Barnes, M. Juricek, J. F. Stoddart, D. T. Co, M. R. Wasielewski, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2013**, *117*, 12438–12448.
- [6] J. C. Barnes, M. Juricek, N. L. Strutt, M. Frascioni, S. Sampath, M. A. Giesener, P. L. McGrier, C. J. Bruns, C. L. Stern, A. A. Sarjeant, J. F. Stoddart, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 183–192.
- [7] a) B. Odell, M. V. Reddington, A. M. Z. Slawin, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, D. J. Williams, *Angew. Chem.* **1988**, *100*, 1605–1608; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 1547–1550; b) R. A. Bissell, E. Cordova, A. E. Kaifer, J. F. Stoddart, *Nature* **1994**, *369*, 133–137; c) M. Asakawa, W. Dehaen, G. L'Abbé, S. Menzer, J. Nouwen, F. M. Raymo, J. F. Stoddart, D. J. Williams, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 9591–9595; d) C. P. Collier, G. Mattersteig, E. W. Wong, Y. Luo, K. Beverly, J. Sampaio, F. M. Raymo, J. F. Stoddart, J. R. Heath, *Science* **2000**, *289*, 1172–1175; e) J. E. Green, J. W. Choi, A. Boukai, Y. Bunimovich, E. Johnston-Halperin, E. DeIonno, Y. Luo, B. A. Sheriff, K. Xu, Y. S. Shin, H.-R. Tseng, J. F. Stoddart, J. R. Heath, *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 414–417; f) C.-H. Sue, S. Basu, A. C. Fahrenbach, A. K. Shveyd, S. K. Dey, Y. Y. Botros, J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Sci.* **2010**, *1*, 119–125; g) J. C. Barnes, A. C. Fahrenbach, S. M. Dyar, M. Frascioni, M. A. Giesener, Z. Zhu, Z. Liu, K. J. Hartlieb, R. Carmieli, M. R. Wasielewski, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 11546–11551; h) J. C. Barnes, A. C. Fahrenbach, D. Cao, S. M. Dyar, M. Frascioni, M. A. Giesener, D. Benitez, E. Tkatchouk, O. Chernyashevskyy, W. H. Shin, H. Li, S. Sampath, C. L. Stern, A. A. Sarjeant, K. J. Hartlieb, Z. Liu, R. Carmieli, Y. Y. Botros, J. W. Choi, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. B. Ketterson, M. R. Wasielewski, W. A. Goddard III, J. F. Stoddart, *Science* **2013**, *339*, 429–433.
- [8] a) B. C. Bunker, D. L. Huber, J. G. Kushmerick, T. Dunbar, M. Kelly, C. Matzke, J. G. Cao, J. O. Jeppesen, J. Perkins, A. H. Flood, J. F. Stoddart, *Langmuir* **2007**, *23*, 31–34; b) M. M. Boyle, R. S. Forgan, D. C. Friedman, J. J. Gassensmith, R. A. Smaldone, J. F. Stoddart, J. P. Sauvage, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 11870–11872; c) D. Cao, C. Wang, M. A. Giesener, Z. C. Liu, J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 6791–6793.
- [9] a) K. Takahashi, T. Nihira, K. Akiyama, Y. Ikegami, E. Fukuyo, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 620–622; b) W. W. Porter, T. P. Vaid, A. L. Rheingold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16559–16566; c) V. Kolivoska, M. Gal, L. Pospisil, M. Valasek, M. Hromadova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 11422–11429.
- [10] H. Levanon, J. R. Norris, *Chem. Rev.* **1978**, *78*, 185–198.
- [11] A. Weller, *Z. Phys. Chem.* **1982**, *133*, 93–98.
- [12] a) B. P. Sullivan, W. J. Dressick, T. J. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982**, *86*, 1473–1478; b) T. Watanabe, K. Honda, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982**, *86*, 2617–2619; c) P. Fromherz, B. Rieger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 5361–5362; d) M. Borja, P. K. Dutta, *Nature* **1993**, *362*, 43–45; e) M. Alvaro, G. A. Facey, H. Garcia, S. Garcia, J. C. Scaiano, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 18173–18176; f) M. Alvaro, H. Garcia, S. Garcia, F. Marquez, J. C. Scaiano, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1997**, *101*, 3043–3051; g) S. K. Das, P. K. Dutta, *Langmuir* **1998**, *14*, 5121–5126; h) M. Vitale, N. B. Castagnola, N. J. Ortins, J. A. Brooke, A. Vaidyalagam, P. K. Dutta, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1999**, *103*, 2408–2416; i) J. Peon, X. Tan, J. D. Hoerner, C. G. Xia, Y. F. Luk, B. Kohler, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2001**, *105*, 5768–5777.
- [13] M. T. Colvin, A. B. Ricks, A. M. Scott, D. T. Co, M. R. Wasielewski, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 1923–1930.
- [14] B. S. Veldkamp, W. S. Han, S. M. Dyar, S. W. Eaton, M. A. Ratner, M. R. Wasielewski, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 1917–1928.
- [15] Y. Shao, L. F. Molnar, Y. Jung, J. Kussmann, C. Ochsenfeld, S. T. Brown, A. T. B. Gilbert, L. V. Slipchenko, S. V. Levchenko, D. P. O'Neill, R. A. DiStasio, Jr., R. C. Lochan, T. Wang, G. J. O. Beran, N. A. Besley, J. M. Herbert, C. Y. Lin, T. Van Voorhis, S. H. Chien, A. Sodt, R. P. Steele, V. A. Rassolov, P. E. Maslen, P. P. Korambath, R. D. Adamson, B. Austin, J. Baker, E. F. C. Byrd, H. Dachsel, R. J. Doerksen, A. Dreuw, B. D. Dunietz, A. D. Dutoi, T. R. Furlani, S. R. Gwaltney, A. Heyden, S. Hirata, C.-P. Hsu, G. Kedziora, R. Z. Khaliullin, P. Klunzinger, A. M. Lee, M. S. Lee, W. Liang, I. Lotan, N. Nair, B. Peters, E. I. Proynov, P. A. Pieniazek, Y. M. Rhee, J. Ritchie, E. Rosta, C. D. Sherrill, A. C. Simmonett, J. E. Subotnik, H. L. Woodcock III, W. Zhang, A. T. Bell, A. K. Chakraborty, D. M. Chipman, F. J. Keil, A. Warshel, W. J. Hehre, H. F. Schaefer III, J. Kong, A. I. Krylov, P. M. W. Gill, M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 3172–3191.